#### **REMARKS**

In the Office Action the Examiner noted that claims 1-4, 7 and 14 are pending in the application and the Examiner rejected all claims. By this Amendment, various claims have been amended. Thus, claims 1-4, 7 and 14 remain pending in the application. The Examiner's rejections are traversed below.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

In items 1-3 on page 2 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 1 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph, as indefinite. In particular, the Examiner took the position that the terms "greater than":, "greater" and "increased" are indefinite. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 14 have been amended to clarify the features of the present invention and to remove the objectionable terms. Therefore, it is submitted that claims 1 and 14, as amended, meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

### Rejection of Claims 1, 3-4, 7 and 14

In items 4-24 on pages 3-8 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-4 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,167,444 to Hall in view of the publication entitled "Temperature Independent Interferometer for WDM Filters" by Shirasaki.

#### U.S. Patent 5,167,444 to Hall

The Hall patent is directed to an apparatus and method for optical signal source stabilization. Figure 2 of Hall illustrates an interferometer 20 having three discs 36, 37 and 38 and a ring 40 formed of a material having a low coefficient of thermal expansion. The three discs 36, 37 and 38 as well as the ring 40 are formed of a glass ceramic composite material. The glass ceramic material includes a first component having a positive coefficient of thermal expansion and a second component having a negative coefficient of thermal expansion (column 4, lines 31-48).

Hall discloses stabilizing a frequency of an optical signal output from an optical signal source by polarizing the optical signal output from the optical signal source to direct the polarized optical signal to a Fabry-Perot interferometer, and controlling the optical signal source

so that light selected after transmitting through the Fabry-Perot interferometer is maintained.

### The Shirasaki Publication

The Shirasaki publication is directed to a method for eliminating the temperature dependence of an interferometer such as a Fabry-Perot etalon. Referring to Figure 3, Shirasaki discloses that temperature dependence of an optical distance is reduced by forming the interferometer such that a thin glass plate having anti-reflection coatings is sandwiched between thick glass plates having larger thermal expansion coefficients than the thin glass plate. The Shirasaki publication appears to be related to cited U.S. Patent 5,982,488 to Shirasaki.

# The Present Claimed Invention Patentably Distinguishes over the Prior Art

By this Amendment claims 1 and 14 have been amended in order to clarify the features of the invention relating to increasing the temperature dependency of the transmission wavelength characteristic of the etalon, including reciting a feature regarding the distance between the reflecting surfaces of the etalon, and a feature regarding the variation of the refractive index of the air gap.

Referring to Shirasaki, and particularly Figure 4 of the Shirasaki '488 patent, members 202 and 203 having different expansion coefficients, are illustrated. When a refractive index changes due to a temperature fluctuation, a distance between reflecting surfaces is adjusted by applying a tensile force on a member 201 between the reflecting surfaces 202 by the outer members 203. (See Figure attached as Exhibit A.) It is clear that the constitution of Shirasaki does not teach or suggest the features of the present invention relating to the claimed air gap type etalon of the present invention which compensates for variation in the air refractive index due to temperature fluctuation.

The Hall reference merely discloses that a distance between reflecting surfaces is set to be constant. In contrast to the present claimed invention, Hall does not teach or suggest that the refractive index of air is considered in the distance between the parallel flat surfaces of the first parallel member and the transparent member as well as the thermal expansion coefficient of each of the members are set so that the distance between reflecting surfaces is made greater as the temperature rises.

In contrast to the prior art, in the air gap type etalon of the present invention an air gap is

formed between the flat surface of the first parallel member and the flat surface of the transparent member facing each other. The distance between the parallel flat surfaces and the expansion coefficient of each of the first and second parallel members are set based on a variation of an air refractive index due to a temperature fluctuation. When a temperature rises, a distance between the surfaces of the first parallel member and the transparent member that face each other, is set to be longer than an initial value before the temperature rises. This enables the compensation of wavelength temperature dependency of a wavelength characteristic of incident light.

Referring specifically to claim 1, it is submitted that the prior art does teach or suggest:

wherein a Fabry-Perot interferometer is formed based on an airgap positioned between the flat surface of said first parallel member and the flat surface of said transparent member facing each other, and wherein a distance between the parallel flat surfaces and the expansion coefficient of each of said first and second parallel members, are set based on a variation of an air refractive index due to a temperature fluctuation, so that when a temperature rises, a distance between the surfaces of said first parallel member and said transparent member that face each other, is set to be longer than an initial value before the temperature rises, to enable the compensation of a wavelength temperature dependency of a wavelength characteristic of incident light.

Therefore, it is submitted that claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

Claims 3-4 and 7 depend from claim 1 and include all of the features of claim 1 plus additional features which are not taught or suggested by the prior art. Therefore, it is submitted that claims 3-4 and 7 patentably distinguish over the prior art.

Referring to claim 14 it is submitted that the prior art does not teach or suggest:

the distance between the parallel surfaces and the expansion coefficient of each of said first and second parallel members being set based on a variation of an air refractive index due to a temperature fluctuation, so that when a temperature rises, a distance between the surfaces of said first parallel member and

said transparent member that face each other, is set to be longer than an initial value before the temperature rises, to enable compensation for a wavelength temperature dependency of a wavelength characteristic of incident light.

Therefore, it is submitted that claim 14 patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

### Rejection of Claim 2

In items 20-24 on pages 7-8 of the Office Action the Examiner has rejected claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over the Hall patent in view of the Shirasaki publication and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,982,488 to Shirasaki.

Claim 2 depends from claim 1 and includes all of the features of that claim, plus additional features which are not taught or suggested by the prior art. Therefore, it is submitted that claim 2 patentably distinguishes over the prior art.

### Request for Interview

Applicants previously submitted a Request for an Interview on March 12, 2004 (copy attached).

After discussions with the Examiner, it was determined that an interview might not be fruitful until the applicants provided claim amendments and arguments relating to the § 112 and §103 rejections. Since these amendments and arguments are being submitted herewith, it is requested that the Examiner grant an interview prior to generating an Advisory Action.

## Summary

It is submitted that none of the references either taken alone or in combination, teach the present claimed invention. Thus, claims 1-4, 7 and 14 are deemed to be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the claims and an early notice of allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 4-2-09

By:

ohn C. Garvey

Registration No. 28,607

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

